



World Gastroenterology Organisation practice guideline:
Esophageal varices

June 2008

Review team:

Prof. P. Dite (Co-Chairman, Czech Republic)
 Prof. D. Labrecque (Co-Chairman, USA)
 Prof. Michael Fried (Switzerland)
 Prof. A. Gangl (Austria)
 Prof. A.G. Khan (Pakistan)
 Prof. D. Bjorkman (USA)
 Prof. R. Eliakim (Israel)
 Prof. R. Bektaeva (Kazakhstan)
 Prof. S.K. Sarin (India)
 Prof. S. Fedail (Sudan)
 Dr. J.H. Krabshuis (France)
 Dr. A. Le Mair (The Netherlands)

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Methodology, literature review, and rationale
- 3 Pathophysiology
- 4 Epidemiology
- 5 Natural history
- 6 Risk factors
- 7 Diagnosis and differential diagnosis
- 8 Management of varices and hemorrhage
- 9 Guidelines, further reading, and websites

1 Introduction

Esophageal varices are portosystemic collaterals — i.e., vascular channels that link the portal venous and the systemic venous circulation. They form as a consequence of portal hypertension (a progressive complication of cirrhosis), preferentially in the submucosa of the lower esophagus. Rupture and bleeding from esophageal varices are major complications of portal hypertension and are associated with a high mortality rate. Variceal bleeding accounts for 10–30% of all cases of upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

2 Methodology, literature review, and rationale

Key points:

- The guideline must be relevant globally and not only in developed countries.
- The guideline must take account of different resource levels.
- The search strategies are precise, rather than sensitive.
- The guideline is a living document that will be updated as new information becomes available.
- There is a graded evidence system accompanying the guideline that can be used to track new evidence as it appears.

2.1 Methodology

World Gastroenterology Organisation (WGO) guidelines are not systematic reviews based on a comprehensive review of all available evidence and guidelines — that is a field for systematic reviewers and the Cochrane Collaboration. Instead, WGO guidelines summarize what is known and has been published in existing systematic reviews, evidence-based guidelines, and high-quality trials, and this information is then configured to make it as relevant and accessible as possible globally. Usually, this means creating “cascades” — building different approaches to achieve the same ends. Each approach at different levels of the cascade is different, because it tries to take into account a country’s resources, cultural preferences, and policies.

After a comprehensive inventory has been made of all published high-quality evidence in the Cochrane Library, Medline, Embase, and the National Guidelines Clearinghouse, as well as society websites, a global guideline is written that specifically distinguishes between regions with differing resources and/or differing epidemiologies, and the guideline is then translated into various languages in order to facilitate access to it and application of the recommendations it contains.

Living document and graded evidence. Since 2006, WGO guidelines have been “living documents” that are published on the Web and free at the point of use. Each published guideline is accompanied by a separate graded evidence service, which allows readers to track new evidence on each topic as it appears.

The WGO’s graded evidence system was set up in order to help national gastroenterology societies and all those interested in practicing and conducting research in the field of gastroenterology to keep track of the literature on topics covered by the WGO guidelines. Most guidelines are based on evidence that is out of date by the time they are published; the lag time between evidence gathering and publication can sometimes be as much as 3–4 years. The WGO’s graded evidence system bridges this gap. WGO guidelines are constantly reviewed, and updates are compiled when new information becomes available.

These evidence updates are based on regular searches in Medline, the results of which are screened by a gastroenterology expert. A selection is made from these searches on the basis of the evidence and relevance for the guideline involved. Graded evidence for each WGO guideline can be consulted at: <http://www.worldgastroenterology.org/graded-evidence-access.html>.

2.2 Literature review and rationale

This guideline was written by the review team after a series of literature searches were carried out to establish what had changed since the WGO's first position statement on the topic of esophageal varices, published in May 2003.

Existing evidence was searched using precise rather than sensitive syntax for each platform searched. Relevant guidelines were searched on the National Guidelines Clearinghouse platform at www.ngc.org and on the websites of the major gastroenterology and hepatology societies. Further searches were carried out in Medline and Embase on the Dialog-Datastar platform from 2003 onwards.

3 Pathophysiology

Cirrhosis, the end stage of chronic liver disease, is the most common cause of portal hypertension. Portal venous pressure (P) is the product of vascular resistance (R) and blood flow (Q) in the portal bed (Ohm's law; Fig. 1). In cirrhosis, both intrahepatic vascular resistance and portal flow are increased.

Portal hypertension leads to the formation of portosystemic collaterals. However, due to their higher resistance and increased portal venous inflow, these collaterals are unable to decrease the hypertension. Portal hypertension is best assessed (indirectly) using the wedged hepatic venous pressure (WHVP) measurement. A pressure difference between the portal and systemic circulation (the hepatic venous pressure gradient, HVPG) of 10–12 mmHg is necessary (but not sufficient) for varices to form. The normal HVPG is 3–5 mmHg. Single measurements are useful for determining the prognosis of both compensated and decompensated cirrhosis, while repeat measurements are useful for monitoring the response to pharmacological therapy and the progression of liver disease.




Fig. 1 Pathophysiology of esophageal varices.

Varices rupture if the wall tension becomes too great. The likelihood that a varix will rupture and bleed increases with increasing size/diameter of the varix and with increasing variceal pressure, which is again proportionate to the HVPG. Conversely, varices do not bleed if the HVPG is below 12 mmHg. The risk of rebleeding decreases significantly with reductions in HVPG greater than 20% from baseline.

Patients whose HVPG decreases to < 12 mmHg, or at least 20% from baseline levels, have a lower probability of developing recurrent variceal hemorrhage, and also have a lower risk of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and death.

4 Epidemiology

Although varices may form in any location along the tubular gastrointestinal tract, they most often appear in the distal few centimeters of the esophagus. Approximately 50% of patients with cirrhosis develop gastroesophageal varices. Gastric varices are present in 5–33% of patients with portal hypertension.

The frequency of esophageal varices varies from 30% to 70% in patients with cirrhosis (Table 1), and 9–36% of patients have what are known as “high-risk” varices. Esophageal varices develop in patients with cirrhosis at an annual rate of 5–8%, but the varices are large enough to pose a risk of bleeding in only 1–2% of cases. Approximately 4–30% of patients with small varices will develop large varices each year and will therefore be at risk of bleeding.

Table 1 Epidemiology of esophageal varices and correlation with liver disease

Epidemiology

- At the time of diagnosis, approximately 30% of cirrhotic patients have esophageal varices, reaching 90% after approximately 10 years
- Bleeding from esophageal varices is associated with a mortality rate of at least 20% at 6 weeks, although bleeding ceases spontaneously in up to 40% of patients
- Variceal hemorrhage is the most common fatal complication of cirrhosis

Correlation between the presence of varices and the severity of liver disease

- Child–Pugh A patients: 40% have varices
 - Child–Pugh C patients: 85% have varices
 - Some patients may develop varices and hemorrhage early in the course of the disease, even in the absence of cirrhosis
 - Patients with hepatitis C and bridging fibrosis: 16% have esophageal varices
-

The presence of gastroesophageal varices correlates with the severity of liver disease. The severity of cirrhosis can be scored using the Child–Pugh classification system (Table 2).

Table 2 The Child–Pugh classification of the severity of cirrhosis

	1 point	2 points	3 points
Encephalopathy	Absent	Grade 1–2	Grade 3–4 (chronic)
Ascites	Absent	Mild/moderate (diuretic-responsive)	Tense
Bilirubin (mg/dL)	< 2	2–3	> 3
Albumin (g/dL)	> 3.5	2.3–3.5	< 2.8
PT (seconds prolonged)	< 4	4–6	> 6
INR	< 1.7	1.7–2.3	> 2.3

The cirrhosis class is based on the total score:

- Class A: total score 5 or 6
- Class B: total score 7–9
- Class C: total score 10 or higher

The prognosis is directly related to the score.

INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin time.

5 Natural history (Table 3, Fig. 2)

A cirrhosis patient who does not have varices has not yet developed portal hypertension, or his or her portal pressure is not yet high enough for varices to develop. As portal pressure increases, the patient may progress to having small varices. With time, and as the hyperdynamic circulation increases, blood flow through the varices will increase, thus raising the tension in the wall. Variceal hemorrhage resulting from rupture occurs when the expanding force exceeds the maximal wall tension. If there is no modification in the tension of the wall, there will be a high risk of recurrence.

Table 3 Prognosis in patients with esophageal varices

- Approximately 30% of patients with esophageal varices will bleed within the first year after diagnosis. The mortality resulting from bleeding episodes depends on the severity of the underlying liver disease
- The mortality resulting from any bleeding episode may range from < 10% in well-compensated cirrhotic patients with Child–Pugh grade A to > 70% in those in the advanced Child–Pugh C cirrhotic stage. The risk of re-bleeding is high, reaching 80% within 1 year
- Patients with a hepatic venous pressure gradient > 20 mmHg within 24 h of variceal hemorrhage, in comparison with those with lower pressure, are at higher risk for recurrent bleeding within the first week of admission, or of failure to control bleeding (83% vs. 29%) and have a higher 1-year mortality rate (64% vs. 20%)
- Approximately 60% of untreated patients develop “late rebleeding” within 1–2 years of the index hemorrhage




Fig. 2 Natural history of varices and hemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis. HVPG, hepatic venous pressure gradient.

6 Risk factors

An international normalized ratio (INR) score > 1.5, a portal vein diameter of > 13 mm, and thrombocytopenia have been found to be predictive of the likelihood of varices being present in cirrhotics. If none, one, two, or all three of these conditions are met, then < 10%, 20–50%, 40–60%, and > 90% of the patients are estimated to have varices, respectively. The presence of one or more of these conditions represents an indication for endoscopy to search for varices and carry out primary prophylaxis against bleeding in cirrhotic patients (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3 Risk factors for esophageal varices and hemorrhage. HVPG, hepatic venous pressure gradient.

7 Diagnosis and differential diagnosis (Table 4)

Esophagogastroduodenoscopy is the gold standard for the diagnosis of esophageal varices. If the gold standard is not available, other possible diagnostic steps would be Doppler ultrasonography of the blood circulation (not endoscopic ultrasonography). Although this is a poor second choice, it can certainly demonstrate the presence of varices. Further alternatives include radiography/barium swallow of the esophagus and stomach, and portal vein angiography and manometry.

It is important to assess the location (esophagus or stomach) and size of the varices, signs of imminent, first acute, or recurrent bleeding, and (if applicable) to consider the cause and severity of liver disease.

Table 4 Guideline for diagnosing esophageal varices

- 1 A screening esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) for the diagnosis of esophageal and gastric varices is recommended when a diagnosis of cirrhosis is has been made
- 2 Surveillance endoscopies are recommended on the basis of the level of cirrhosis and the presence and size of the varices:

<i>Patients with</i>	<i>and</i>	<i>Repeat EGD</i>
Compensated cirrhosis	No varices	Every 2–3 years
	Small varices	Every 1–2 years
Decompensated cirrhosis		Yearly intervals

- 3 Progression of gastrointestinal varices can be determined on the basis of the size classification at the time of EGD. In practice, the recommendations for medium-sized varices in the three-size classification are the same as for large varices in the two-size classification:

<i>Size of varix</i>	<i>Two-size classification</i>	<i>Three-size classification</i>
Small	< 5 mm	Minimally elevated veins above the esophageal mucosal surface
Medium	–	Tortuous veins occupying less than one-third of the esophageal lumen
Large	> 5 mm	Occupying more than one-third of the esophageal lumen

- 4 Variceal hemorrhage is diagnosed on the basis of one of the following findings on endoscopy:
- Active bleeding from a varix
 - “White nipple” overlying a varix
 - Clots overlying a varix
 - Varices with no other potential source of bleeding

7.1 Differential diagnosis of esophageal varices/hemorrhage (Table 5)

The differential diagnosis for variceal hemorrhage includes all etiologies of (upper) gastrointestinal bleeding. Peptic ulcers are also more frequent in cirrhotics.

Table 5 Differential diagnosis of esophageal varices/hemorrhage

- Schistosomiasis
- Severe congestive heart failure
- Hemochromatosis
- Wilson disease
- Autoimmune hepatitis
- Portal/splenic vein thrombosis
- Sarcoidosis
- Budd–Chiari syndrome
- Chronic pancreatitis
- Hepatitis B
- Hepatitis C
- Alcoholic cirrhosis
- Primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC)
- Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC)

Note: all of these lead to the development of esophageal varices as a result of portal hypertension.

7.2 An example from Africa — esophageal varices caused by schistosomiasis

Schistosomiasis is the most common cause of varices in the setting of developing countries — in Egypt or the Sudan, for example. In absolute numbers, it may be a more common cause than liver cirrhosis. In the Sudan, there are villages in which over 30% of the population have varices. Their liver function is well maintained. They rarely decompensate and do not develop hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Bleeding from varices is the main cause of death in these patients. If the varices are eradicated, the patients can survive more than 25 years.

7.3 Other considerations

Table 6 Considerations in the diagnosis, prevention, and management of esophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage

Screening esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) in cirrhotic patients

- The presence of high-grade varices or red wale marks may be an indication for prophylactic banding
- β -Blockers prevent bleeding in > 50% of patients with medium/large varices — these occur in 15–25% of patients, which means that many who undergo screening EGD do not have varices or do not require prophylactic therapy
- Expensive; requires sedation
- Can be avoided in cirrhotic patients with nonselective β -blocker treatment for arterial hypertension or other reasons

Noninvasive markers — e.g., platelet count, FibroTest, spleen size, portal vein diameter, transient elastography

- Predictive accuracy still unsatisfactory

β -Blocker therapy

- Cost-effective form of prophylactic therapy in comparison with sclerotherapy and shunt surgery
 - Does not prevent varices
 - Has significant side effects
 - Patients receiving a selective β -blocker (metoprolol, atenolol) for other reasons should switch to a nonselective β -blocker (propranolol, nadolol)
-

8 Management of varices and hemorrhage

The following treatment options are available in the management of esophageal varices and hemorrhage (Tables 7 and 8). Although they are effective in stopping bleeding, none of these measures, with the exception of endoscopic therapy, has been shown to affect mortality.

Table 7 Pharmacological therapy

Splanchnic vasoconstrictors

- Vasopressin (analogues)
- Somatostatin (analogues)
- Non-cardioselective β -blockers

Pharmacotherapy with somatostatin (analogues) is effective in stopping hemorrhage, at least temporarily, in up to 80% of patients. Somatostatin may be superior to its analogue octreotide. About 30% of patients do not respond to β -blockers with a reduction in the hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG), despite adequate dosing. These non-responders can only be detected by invasive HVPG measurements. Moreover, β -blockers may cause side effects such as fatigue and impotence, which may impair compliance (especially in younger males), or β -blockers may be contraindicated for other reasons.

Venodilators

- Nitrates

Nitrates alone are not recommended. Isosorbide 5-mononitrate reduces portal pressure, but its use in cirrhotic patients is limited by its systemic vasodilatory effects, often leading to a further decrease in blood pressure and potentially to (prerenal) impairment of kidney function.

Vasoconstrictors and vasodilators

- Combination therapy leads to a synergistic effect in reducing portal pressure. Combining isosorbide 5-mononitrate with nonselective β -blockers has been shown to have additive effects in lowering portal pressure and to be particularly effective in patients who do not respond to initial therapy with β -blockers alone. However, these beneficial effects may be outweighed by detrimental effects on kidney function and long-term mortality, especially in those aged over 50. Routine use of combination therapy is therefore not recommended.

Table 8 Endoscopic therapy*Local therapies*

- Sclerotherapy or endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL)
- No effect on portal flow or resistance

Shunting therapy

- Surgical or radiological (transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt, TIPS)
- Reduces portal pressure

Endoscopic sclerotherapy and variceal ligation are effective in stopping bleeding in up to 90% of patients. Endoscopic band ligation is as effective as sclerotherapy, but is associated with fewer side effects. However, endoscopic band ligation may be more difficult to apply than sclerotherapy in patients with severe active bleeding.

A transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) is a good alternative when endoscopic treatment and pharmacotherapy fail.

The use of balloon tamponade is decreasing, as there is a high risk of rebleeding after deflation and a risk of major complications. Nevertheless, balloon tamponade is effective in most cases in stopping hemorrhage at least temporarily, and it can be used in regions of the world where EGD and TIPS are not readily available. It can help stabilize the patient in order to gain time and access to EGD and/or TIPS later.

8.1 Clinical practice (Fig. 4a–e)

Fig. 4 The approach in patients with cirrhosis and various stages of varices/hemorrhage. **a** Patients with cirrhosis but no varices. EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy.




Fig. 4b Patients with cirrhosis and small varices, but no hemorrhage. Because many patients do not respond to β-blocker treatment or bleeding prophylaxis, it is recommended that EGD be repeated after 2 years (as for those not receiving β-blockers).




Fig. 4c Patients with cirrhosis and medium or large varices, but no hemorrhage.

EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation.

- Noncardioselective β-blockers (propranolol or nadolol), starting at a low dosage, if necessary increasing the dose step by step until a reduction in the resting heart rate of 25%, but not lower than 55 beats/min, is reached.
- In comparison with β-blockers, endoscopic variceal ligation was found to reduce bleeding episodes and severe adverse events significantly, but it had no effect on the mortality rate.




Fig. 4d Patients with cirrhosis and acute variceal hemorrhage.

BID, bis in die/twice a day; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; IV, intravenous; TIPS, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt; VH, variceal hemorrhage.

Acute variceal hemorrhage is often associated with bacterial infection due to gut translocation and motility disturbances. Prophylactic antibiotic therapy has been shown to increase the survival rate.

- In acute or massive variceal bleeding, tracheal intubation can be extremely helpful to avoid bronchial aspiration of blood.
- In patients with variceal hemorrhage in the gastric fundus: endoscopic variceal obturation using tissue adhesives (such as cyanoacrylate) is preferred; the second choice is EVL.
- TIPS should be considered in uncontrollable fundic variceal bleeding or recurrence despite combined pharmacological and endoscopic therapy.
- Emergency sclerotherapy is not better than pharmacological therapy for acute variceal bleeding in cirrhosis.
- Treating bleeding in the esophagus with somatostatin analogues does not appear to reduce deaths, but may lessen the need for blood transfusions.




Fig. 4e Patients with cirrhosis who have recovered from acute variceal hemorrhage.

- Long-term endoscopic control and banding or sclerotherapy of recurrent varices every 3–6 months (only sclerotherapy will be available in many places in the

developing world). If endoscopic band ligation is not available or contraindicated, noncardioselective β -blockers (propranolol or nadolol) starting at a low dosage and if necessary increasing the dosage step by step until a reduction in the resting heart rate by 25%, but not lower than 55 beats/min, is achieved.

- In younger patients with less advanced cirrhosis (Child–Pugh A), the addition of isosorbide 5-mononitrate (starting at 2×20 mg per day and increasing to 2×40 mg per day) may be considered if sclerotherapy or pharmacotherapy fail. TIPS should be considered, especially in candidates for liver transplantation. In selected cases (patients with well-preserved liver function, stable liver disease), a calibrated H graft or a distal splenorenal shunt (Warren shunt) may be considered.
- Portosystemic shunts are associated with lower rates of variceal rebleeding in comparison with sclerotherapy/banding, but they increase the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy (Khan et al. 2006).
- Liver transplantation should always be considered if the patient has Child–Pugh grades B or C.

Recommendations for first-line management of cirrhotic patients at each stage in the natural history of varices (Fig. 5)




Fig. 5 Recommendations for first-line management. EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; ISMN, isosorbide 5-mononitrate.

8.2 Cascade for treatment (Fig. 6)

A cascade is a hierarchical set of diagnostic or therapeutic techniques for the same disease, ranked by the resources available.

As outlined above, several therapeutic options are effective in most clinical situations involving acute variceal hemorrhage, as well as in secondary and primary prophylaxis against it. The optimal therapy in an individual setting very much depends on the relative ease of local availability of these methods and techniques. This is likely to vary widely in different parts of the world.

If endoscopy is not readily available, one has to resort to pharmacotherapy in any case of suspected variceal bleeding — e.g., in patients with hematemesis and signs of cirrhosis. Similarly, pharmacological therapy might be administered in circumstances such as primary prophylaxis in a cirrhotic patient with signs of portal hypertension (splenomegaly, thrombocytopenia) and/or impaired liver function, and as secondary prophylaxis in a cirrhotic patient with a history of upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

If pharmacotherapy is also not available and variceal bleeding is suspected, one must resort to general resuscitation measures and transport the patient as soon as possible to an institution where the necessary diagnostic/therapeutic means are available; balloon tamponade could be extremely helpful in such a situation.




Fig. 6 Cascade for the treatment of acute esophageal variceal hemorrhage. IV, intravenous.

Note: The combination of band ligation and sclerotherapy is not routinely used except when the bleeding is too extensive for a vessel to be identified for banding. In such cases, sclerotherapy can be carried out in order to control the bleeding and clear the field sufficiently for banding to be done afterward.

Caution: There are many conditions that can lead to esophageal varices. There are also many treatment options, depending on the resources available. For a resource-sensitive approach to treatment in Africa, for example, Fedail (2002) can be consulted.

8.3 An example from Africa — esophageal varices and schistosomiasis

Table 9 Treatment of esophageal varices caused by schistosomiasis

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resuscitate and provide intravenous volume support and blood transfusion (caution: there is a risk of overtransfusion) • Carry out balloon tamponade — e.g., with a Sengstaken tube — even if endoscopic facilities are not available for diagnosing varices • Transfer the patient to the nearest district hospital with endoscopy facilities • Carry out endoscopy and sclerotherapy • The cheapest agent is ethanolamine oleate, which can be prepared in the hospital pharmacy • Propranolol (for life) and iron therapy as needed • Band ligators vary in price; the cheapest method is probably to reload Cook ligators and reuse them • Histoacryl is the preferred product in many African countries. Cheap products are available from India, where sterile sesame oil is used instead of Lipiodol
--

Note: therapy with vasoactive drugs is unrealistic in most developing countries. In the Sudan, for example, 1 mg terlipressin (Glypressin) costs the equivalent of 25% of the salary of a house physician and about the same as a year's salary for a government employee.

9 Guidelines, further reading, and websites

9.1 Automatic searches and graded evidence

These four sections (9.1–9.4) together provide the best options for further information and help on the treatment of esophageal varices. PubMed/Medline, at www.pubmed.org, is the best source for keeping up to date with new evidence.

Links 1 and 2 below are preprogrammed automatic searches in PubMed for evidence-based literature on esophageal varices from the last 3 years (link 1) and from the last 3 months (link 2). Just click on the links.

- [Link 1: esophageal varices in the last 3 years:](#)
[Link 2: esophageal varices in the last 3 months](#)
 Link 3: graded evidence for esophageal varices:
www.worldgastroenterology.org/graded-evidence-access.html#gl8

9.2 Guidelines and consensus statements

The best general source for guidelines is the National Guideline Clearinghouse at www.ngc.org. Free subscriptions to the Clearinghouse are available, so that notification is sent whenever a new evidence-based esophageal varix guideline becomes available.

- American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD)/ American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) practice guideline (this is the “gold standard”):
Garcia-Tsao G, Sanyal AJ, Grace ND, Carey W; Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Prevention and management of gastroesophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2007;46:922–38; erratum in: *Hepatology* 2007;46:2052 (PMID: 17879356).
- AASLD practice guideline:
Boyer TD, Haskal ZJ. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases Practice Guidelines: the role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation in the management of portal hypertension. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2005;16:615–29 (PMID: 15872315).
- American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) guideline:
Qureshi W, Adler DG, Davila R, et al. ASGE Guideline: the role of endoscopy in the management of variceal hemorrhage, updated July 2005. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2005;62:651–5 (PMID: 16246673).
- British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines:
Jalan R, Hayes PC. UK guidelines on the management of variceal haemorrhage in cirrhotic patients. *British Society of Gastroenterology. Gut* 2000;46(Suppl 3–4):III1–15 (PMID: 10862604).
- ASGE/ACG Taskforce on Quality in Endoscopy:
Faigel DO, Pike IM, Baron TH, et al. Quality indicators for gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures: an introduction. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:866–72 (PMID: 16635230).
- American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Standards of Practice Committee:
Jacobson BC, Hirota W, Baron TH, et al. The role of endoscopy in the assessment and treatment of esophageal cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;57:817–22 (PMID: 12776026).

9.3 Further reading

- Baik SK, Jeong PH, Ji SW, et al. Acute hemodynamic effects of octreotide and terlipressin in patients with cirrhosis: a randomized comparison. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:631–5 (PMID: 15743362).
- Carbonell N, Pauwels A, Serfaty L, Fourdan O, Lévy VG, Poupon R. Improved survival after variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis over the past two decades. *Hepatology* 2004;40:652–9 (PMID: 15349904).
- D’Amico G, Pietrosi G, Tarantino I, Pagliaro L. Emergency sclerotherapy versus vasoactive drugs for variceal bleeding in cirrhosis: a Cochrane meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2003;124:1277–91 (PMID: 12730868).
- D’Amico G, Garcia-Pagan JC, Luca A, Bosch J. Hepatic vein pressure gradient reduction and prevention of variceal bleeding in cirrhosis: a systematic review. *Gastroenterology* 2006;131:1611–24 (PMID: 17101332).
- Fedail SS. Esophageal varices in Sudan. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:781–2 (PMID: 12397302).
- Garcia-Tsao G, Sanyal AJ, Grace ND, Carey W; Practice Guidelines Committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Prevention and management of gastroesophageal varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2007;46:922–38 (PMID: 17879356).
- Gøtzsche PC, Hróbjartsson A. Somatostatin analogues for acute bleeding oesophageal varices. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;(1):CD000193 (PMID: 5674868).
- Hwang JH, Rulyak SD, Kimmey MB; American Gastroenterological Association Institute. American Gastroenterological Association Institute technical review on the management of gastric subepithelial masses. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:2217–28 (PMID: 16762644).

- Jutabha R, Jensen DM, Martin P, Savides T, Han SH, Gornbein J. Randomized study comparing banding and propranolol to prevent initial variceal hemorrhage in cirrhotics with high-risk esophageal varices. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:870–81 (PMID: 15825071).
- Khan S, Tudur Smith C, Williamson P, Sutton R. Portosystemic shunts versus endoscopic therapy for variceal rebleeding in patients with cirrhosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006;(4):CD000553 (PMID: 17054131).
- Khuroo MS, Khuroo NS, Farahat KL, Khuroo YS, Sofi AA, Dahab ST. Meta-analysis: endoscopic variceal ligation for primary prophylaxis of oesophageal variceal bleeding. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21:347–61 (PMID: 15709985).
- Schepke M, Kleber G, Nürnberg D, et al. Ligation versus propranolol for the primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2004;40:65–72 (PMID: 15239087).
- Sharara AI, Rockey DC. Gastroesophageal variceal hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:669–81 (PMID: 11547722).
- Stokkeland K, Brandt L, Ekblom A, Hultcrantz R. Improved prognosis for patients hospitalized with esophageal varices in Sweden 1969–2002. *Hepatology* 2006;43:500–5 (PMID: 16496319).
- Villanueva C, Piqueras M, Aracil C, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing ligation and sclerotherapy as emergency endoscopic treatment added to somatostatin in acute variceal bleeding. *J Hepatol* 2006;45:560–7 (PMID: 16904224).

9.4 Websites

- American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases:
www.aasld.org/
- International Association for the Study of the Liver:
<http://www.iaslonline.com/>
- European Association for the Study of the Liver:
www.easl.ch
- American College of Gastroenterology:
<http://www.acg.gi.org>
- American Gastroenterological Association:
<http://www.gastro.org/>
- American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy:
www.asge.org
- MedlinePlus (the best source for consumer and patient information on esophageal varices):
<http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/>